

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

NATHAN BROOKS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs

V.

HALSTED COMMUNICATIONS, LT,L  
Defendants

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 07-30164-MAP

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR  
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO JUDGE PONSOR

☒ The above entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR.

☐ On \_\_\_\_\_ I held the following ADR proceeding:

☐ SCREENING CONFERENCE

☐ EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION

☐ MEDIATION

☐ SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL

☐ MINI-TRIAL

☐ FOLLOWUP CONFERENCE

☐ All parties were represented by counsel.

☐ The parties were present for mediation in person, by telephone or by authorized corporate officer or representative.

The case was:

☐ Settled. A \_\_\_\_\_ day order of dismissal has been entered by the court.

☐ There was progress. \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your schedule.

☐ Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

November 25, 2009  
DATE

/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman  
ADR Provider

KENNETH P. NEIMAN, U.S. Magistrate Judge